

# LES TYPES DE STRUCTURE LINGUISTIQUE

Document extrait de :

Edward Sapir, 1921

Language: an introduction to the study of speech,  
Harvard University

<https://archive.org/details/languageintro02sapigoog>

Fundamental Type	II	III	IV	Technique	Synthesis	Examples
A (Simple Pure-relational)	—	—	a	Isolating	Analytic	Chinese; Annamite
	(d)	—	a, b	Isolating (weakly agglutinative)	Analytic	Ewe (Guinea Coast)
	(b)	—	a, b, c	Agglutinative (mildly agglutinative-fusional)	Analytic	Modern Tibetan
B (Complex Pure-relational)	b, (d)	—	a	Agglutinative-isolating	Analytic	Polyesian
	b	—	a, (b)	Agglutinative-isolating	Polysynthetic	Haida
	c	—	a	Fusional-isolating	Analytic	Cambodian
	b	—	b	Agglutinative	Synthetic	Turkish
	b, d	(b)	b	Agglutinative (symbolic tinge)	Polysynthetic	Yana (N. California)
	c, d, (b)	—	a, b	Fusional-agglutinative (symbolic tinge)	Synthetic (mildly)	Classical Tibetan
	b	—	c	Agglutinative-fusional	Synthetic (mildly polysynthetic)	Sioux
	c	—	c	Fusional	Synthetic	Salinan (S.W. California)
	d, c	(d)	d, c, a	Symbolic	Analytic	Shilluk (Upper Nile)
C (Simple Mixed-relational)	(b)	b	—	Agglutinative	Synthetic	Bantu
	(c)	c, (d),	a	Fusional	Analytic (mildly synthetic)	French *
D (Complex Mixed-relational)	b, c, d	b	b	Agglutinative (symbolic tinge)	Polysynthetic	Nootka (Vancouver Island) †
	c, (d)	b	—	Fusional-agglutinative	Polysynthetic (mildly)	Chinook (lower Columbia R.)
	c, (d)	c, (d), (b)	—	Fusional	Polysynthetic	Algonkin
	c, c, d	c, d c, d	a	Fusional Fusional (symbolic tinge)	Analytic Synthetic	English Latin, Greek, Sanskrit
	c, b, d	c, d	(a)	Fusional (strongly symbolic)	Synthetic	Takelma (S.W. Oregon)
	d, c	c, d	(a)	Symbolic-fusional	Synthetic	Semitic (Arabic, Hebrew)

NOTE.—Parentheses indicate a weak development of the process in question.

\* Might nearly as well have come under D.

† Very nearly complex pure-relational.